

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/003,349	12/06/2001	Harumi Anne Kuno	10018402-1	5233
7	7590 12/27/2007	EXAMINER		
	SILBERBERG & KNU OLYMPIC BOULEVARD			
	ES, CA 90064	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 12/27/2007

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)		10/003,349	KUNO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		P. Chea	2153			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
·	peal Brief filed on <u>12/09/2007</u> is defective for fai	•				
1205.0	d dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an 3) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from t ISIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRA	he mailing date of this Notificatio	ate correction (see MPEP n, whichever is longer.			
1. 🗆	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.					
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).					
3.	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).					
4.	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).					
5. 🛚	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))					
6. 🗌	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).					
7. 	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).					
8	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).					
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).					
10.🖂	Other (including any explanation in support of t	the above items):				
	c(6) The brief does not contain a concise statement The entire brief is not required, only the section that	was found defective Out	le Brown			
		PATENT APPEAL OF	ENITER SPECIALIST			